IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.3380 OF 2005
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.1266 OF 2004

Mouni Vidyapeeth, Gargoti & Anr. .. Applicants
(Org.Res.Nos.5 & 6)
V/s
Naresh Rajaram Patil & Others .. Respondents

Mr.S.V.Sadavarte for the Applicants-original Respondent Nos.5 & 6.
Mrs.Thakur, AGP for the Respondent No.1 to 3.
Mr.S.S.Pakale for opriginal Petitioners.

CORAM : DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN & S.A.BOBDE, JJ.

DATE : 7th December, 2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the original Respondent Nos.5 & 6, the learned AGP for Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and the learned Counsel for the original Petitioners. After hearing the learned Counsel for the parties, Mr.Pakale, the learned Counsel for the original Petitioners conceded that the Petitioner Nos.1 to 3 have already retired. So far as Petitioner Nos.4 & 5 are concerned, the learned Counsel Mr.Pakale for the original Petitioners categorically states that in any event, the Petitioner Nos.4 & 5 will not be allowed to continue in service beyond the age of 60 years.

2. The hearing of the Petition has already been expedited and a peremptory date of hearing was fixed. Liberty is granted to the learned Counsel for original Respondent Nos.5 & 6 (Applicants herein) to move the Division Bench for a fixed date of hearing. Civil Application stands disposed of accordingly.

(S.A.BOBDE, J) (DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN,J.)